

Differential Galois obstructions for non-commutative integrability

Andrzej J. Maciejewski,
Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra
Podgórna 50, PL-65–246 Zielona Góra, Poland,
(e-mail: maciejka@astro.ia.uz.zgora.pl)

Maria Przybylska
Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 du CNRS, Université de Grenoble I,
100 rue des Maths, BP 74, 38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères Cedex, France, and
Toruń Centre for Astronomy, N. Copernicus University, Gagarina 11,
PL-87–100 Toruń, Poland, (e-mail: mprzyb@astri.uni.torun.pl)

February 6, 2008

Abstract

We show that if a holomorphic Hamiltonian system is holomorphically integrable in the non-commutative sense in a neighbourhood of a non-equilibrium phase curve which is located at a regular level of the first integrals, then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the variational equations along the phase curve is Abelian. Thus necessary conditions for the commutative and non-commutative integrability given by the differential Galois approach are the same.

MSC 37J30, 70H06, 53D20

1 Introduction

One of the main problems of Hamiltonian mechanics is to decide whether a given system is integrable or not. There exist only few methods which give effective and rigorous necessary conditions for integrability. One of them arose from a great idea of S. N. Kovalevskaya. It relates integrability with the properties of solutions as a function of the complex time. Too complicated branching of solutions is not compatible with the integrability. The idea of Kovalevskaya was investigated for almost a century by leading mathematicians and physicists of the epoch. Finally, at the beginning of eighties of the previous century, the problem of mysterious relations between the integrability and branching of solutions was explained by S. L. Ziglin in his elegant and powerfull theory formulated in [1, 2]. In the Ziglin theory the integrability is connected with the properties of the monodromy group of the variational equations along a particular non-equilibrium solution of the considered system. If the system is integrable, then the monodromy group cannot be too ‘big’. The

Ziglin theory was successfully applied to study the integrability of many Hamiltonian systems, see e.g. [3, 4, 5] and references therein. In the middle of nineties of the previous century, thanks to the works of A. Baider, R. .C. Churchill, J. J. Morales, J.-P. Ramis, D. L. Rod, C. Simo and M. F. Singer the Ziglin theory was considerably developed, see [3, 6, 7, 8] and references therein. The main idea of this extension is to use the differential Galois group of the variational equations in order to obtain the necessary conditions for the integrability. We describe this approach shortly. For a more detailed description see the cited papers.

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional complex connected analytic symplectic manifold. The symplectic form ω induces the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ and the corresponding Poisson tensor Λ on M . For a meromorphic function $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote by X_H the Hamiltonian vector field generated by H . We have the well known identities

$$\{F, H\} = \omega(X_F, X_H) = \Lambda(dF, dH), \quad (1.1)$$

for arbitrary meromorphic functions F and H on M . Consider the Hamiltonian equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = X_H(x), \quad x \in M, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.2)$$

A meromorphic function $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a first integral of system (1.2) iff $\{H, F\} = 0$. The Poisson bracket $\{F_1, F_2\}$ of two first integrals F_1 and F_2 of (1.2) is a first integral. Hence the set of all meromorphic first integrals of (1.2) is a Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket.

A Hamiltonian system (1.2) is meromorphically integrable in the Liouville sense iff it admits n meromorphic first integrals which are functionally independent on an open and dense subset of M .

Let $\varphi(t)$ be a non-equilibrium particular solution of (1.2). Here we consider φ as a full analytic function, i.e., φ is a maximal analytic continuation of a local solution. It defines a Riemann surface Γ with t as a local coordinate. The variational equations along Γ have the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}y = A(t)y, \quad A(t) = \frac{\partial X_H}{\partial x}(\varphi(t)), \quad y \in T_\Gamma M. \quad (1.3)$$

The coefficients of this equation belong to the field $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ of functions meromorphic on Γ . This is a differential field with the derivative with respect to the time as the derivation. Its subfield of constants is $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the differential Galois group of system (1.3). It is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

The following theorem states that the existence of a commutative n -dimensional Lie algebra of functionally independent first integrals implies the commutativity of the identity component of the differential Galois group of the variational equations. It was formulated by J. J. Morales and J. P. Ramis in [6, 8], see also [9, 10].

Theorem 1.1. *If Hamiltonian system (1.2) possesses n commuting functionally independent meromorphic first integrals in a connected neighbourhood of a non-equilibrium phase curve Γ , then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the variational equations along Γ is Abelian.*

Let us note that the first integrals are functionally independent in the neighbourhood of Γ but not necessarily independent on Γ itself.

The above theorem was successfully applied for proving the non-integrability of many systems, see e.g. [7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Its strength lies in two facts. The differential Galois group is bigger than the monodromy group. Moreover, it is easier to determine the differential Galois group of given equations than their monodromy group.

In many cases Hamiltonian system (1.2) on a $2n$ dimensional manifold admits more than n functionally independent but non-commuting first integrals, see e.g. [17, 18, 19]. Under certain conditions integration of such systems can be reduced to quadratures. Such examples gave motivation for introducing the notion of non-commutative integrability, see e.g. [20, 21, 22, 23] and references therein. Let us remind shortly the idea of the non-commutative integrability. As we work with complex Hamiltonian systems, we adopt basic definitions from [22] to this context.

Let $F_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, be functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of a point $x \in M$. They define a natural map

$$F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k, \quad U \ni x \rightarrow F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_k(x)) \in \mathbb{C}^k. \quad (1.4)$$

In the cotangent space T_x^*M we distinguish a linear subspace F_x spanned by differentials $dF_i(x) : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, i.e.

$$F_x := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{dF_1(x), \dots, dF_k(x)\}. \quad (1.5)$$

The Poisson tensor $\Lambda_x := \Lambda(x)$ at point x is a bilinear form on T_x^*M which induces a linear map $\Lambda_x^\sharp : T_x^*M \rightarrow T_x M$, defined by

$$\Lambda_x(u, v) = \langle u, \Lambda_x^\sharp(v) \rangle = u \cdot \Lambda_x^\sharp(v) := u \left(\Lambda_x^\sharp(v) \right), \quad \text{for all } u, v \in T_x^*M. \quad (1.6)$$

To simplify the notation we write $\Lambda|_{F_x}$ and $\Lambda^\sharp|_{F_x}$ to denote the restriction of Λ_x and $\Lambda_x^\sharp$ to F_x , respectively.

Definition 1. We say that a holomorphic Hamiltonian system (1.2) is holomorphically integrable in the non-commutative sense iff there exist k holomorphic first integrals $F_1, \dots, F_k$ which are functionally independent on an open and dense subset U of M , and satisfy

$$\{F_i, F_j\}(x) = a_{ij}(F_1(x), \dots, F_k(x)), \quad \text{for } x \in U, \quad \text{and } 1 \leq i, j \leq k. \quad (1.7)$$

where $a_{ij} : \mathbb{C}^k \supset F^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic functions; and, moreover, condition

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F_x + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \Lambda^\sharp|_{F_x} = 2n \quad \text{for } x \in U. \quad (1.8)$$

is fulfilled.

Let us make some remarks about the above definition.

REMARK 1.1 As it is assumed that functions $F_1, \dots, F_k$ are functionally independent at $x \in U$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F_x = k$. Hence differentials $dF_1(x), \dots, dF_k(x)$ form a linear base in T_x^*M . Let $v_x^1, \dots, v_x^k \in T_x^*M$ be the dual base. The matrix $A = [A_{ij}]$ of $\Lambda|_{F_x}$ is given by

$$A_{ij} := \Lambda|_{F_x}(dF_i(x), dF_j(x)) = \{F_i, F_j\}(x) = a_{ij}(F(x)). \quad (1.9)$$

Let $B = [B_{ij}]$ be the matrix of $\Lambda^\sharp|_{F_x}$ in the chosen bases, i.e.,

$$\Lambda^\sharp|_{F_x}(dF_j(x)) := \sum_{l=1}^k B_{lj} v_x^l. \quad (1.10)$$

Then, from (1.9) and (1.6) we obtain

$$A_{ij} = \Lambda|_{F_x}(dF_i(x), dF_j(x)) = \langle dF_i(x), \Lambda^\sharp|_{F_x}(dF_j(x)) \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^k B_{lj} \langle dF_i(x), v_x^l \rangle = B_{ij}. \quad (1.11)$$

This shows that $A = B = [a_{ij}(F(x))]$. Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \Lambda^\sharp|_{F_x} = k - \text{rank}[a_{ij}(F(x))]. \quad (1.12)$$

REMARK 1.2 One can consider also the non-commutative integrability with meromorphic first integrals. We restrict ourselves to holomorphic first integrals to avoid technical difficulties in the proof of our main results.

REMARK 1.3 The definition of the non-commutative integrability for real Hamiltonian systems can be obtained from the definition given above if we change $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. It should be mentioned, however, that usually for real Hamiltonian systems it is assumed that the first integrals are of class C^∞ .

REMARK 1.4 If a real Hamiltonian system is integrable in the non-commutative sense with smooth first integrals, then the compact connected common levels of these first integrals are tori of dimension smaller than n .

The well known conjecture of A. T. Fomenko and A. S. Mishchenko says that if a system is integrable in the non-commutative sense with the first integrals of a given class, then it is integrable in the usual Liouville sense with the first integrals belonging to the same class of functions. Recently S. T. Sadetov [24] proved this conjecture for a case when the first integrals span a finite dimensional Lie algebra, i.e. when

$$\{F_i, F_j\} = \sum_{m=1}^k c_{ij}^m F_m,$$

where c_{ij}^m are constant. Furthermore in [22] it was shown that if a real Hamiltonian system is integrable in the non-commutative sense, then it is integrable in the Liouville sense with the first integrals $F_1, \dots, F_n \in C^\infty(M)$. This important result shows, on the

one hand, that the non-commutative integrability ‘means’ almost the commutative one. But, on the other hand, still there remains an open problem. In fact, let us assume that the considered system is integrable in the non-commutative sense with the first integrals which are real holomorphic. Does it imply that it is integrable in the Liouville sense with real holomorphic first integrals? There is a conjecture in [22] that the answer to this question is affirmative.

The purpose of this paper is to give necessary conditions for the non-commutative integrability of complex Hamiltonian systems. More precisely, our aim is to give necessary conditions in the spirit of the Morales-Ramis Theorem 1.1. The above mentioned results relating the non-commutative and commutative integrability suggest that these necessary conditions should be the same as for the commutative integrability. Our main result shows that in fact they are really the same.

Theorem 1.2. *If Hamiltonian system (1.2) is integrable in the non-commutative sense with first integrals holomorphic in a connected neighbourhood of a non-equilibrium phase curve Γ , then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the variational equations along Γ is Abelian.*

The rest of this paper contains a proof of the above theorem.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Our proof is based on two facts. The first of them is the Lie-Cartan theorem (see page 126 in [25] and Section 3.2.2 of [26]) which we formulate in a form adequate for complex Hamiltonian systems.

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional complex connected analytic symplectic manifold. Assume that $F_1, \dots, F_k$ are functionally independent holomorphic functions defined in a non-empty connected open subset $W \subset M$, and

$$F : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k, \quad W \ni x \mapsto (F_1(x), \dots, F_k(x)) \in \mathbb{C}^k,$$

is the momentum map. Moreover, we assume that there exist holomorphic functions $a_{ij} : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{F_i, F_j\} = a_{ij} \circ F$, i.e.

$$\{F_i, F_j\}(x) = a_{ij}(F_1(x), \dots, F_k(x)), \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Lie-Cartan). *Let $c = F(p)$, $p \in W$ and assume that the rank of matrix $[a_{ij}]$ is constant in a neighbourhood of c . Then there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ of point c and k functionally independent holomorphic functions $g_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that functions*

$$G_i = g_i \circ F : M \supset F^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad (2.1)$$

satisfy

$$\{G_{2i-1}, G_{2i}\} = 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r,$$

where $2r$ is the rank of $k \times k$ matrix $[a_{ij}]$. Moreover, all remaining Poisson brackets of functions G_i vanish.

REMARK 2.1 To have an idea how to prove the above theorem, let us restrict W in such a way that $\text{rank}[a_{ij}(y)] = 2r$ for all $y \in P = F(W)$. Then P is an analytic submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^k$. We can define a Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_A$ on P demanding

$$\{y_i, y_j\}_A := a_{ij}(y_1, \dots, y_k), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, P is a Poisson manifold. For a point $c \in P$ we can apply the local structure theorem for a Poisson bracket, see e.g., p. 348 in [27]. From this theorem it follows that there exists a neighbourhood U of c , and holomorphic functions $g_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that

$$\{g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}\}_A = 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r,$$

and all the remaining brackets vanish. Now, for functions (2.1) we have

$$\{G_i, G_j\}(x) = a_{ij}(g_1(F(x)), \dots, g_k(F(x))) = \{g_i, g_j\}_A(F(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in F^{-1}(U). \quad (2.3)$$

REMARK 2.2 Let point $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and functions G_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ be as in the above theorem. Moreover, we assume that $k = n + r$. The common level

$$\Sigma_c := \{x \in W \mid G_i(x) = c_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k\},$$

is an analytic submanifold of M and $\dim \Sigma_c = 2n - k = n - r$. The tangent space $T_x \Sigma_c$ to this manifold at point x is the intersection of kernels of differentials of all functions G_i at point x , i.e.

$$T_x \Sigma_c = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{v \in T_x M \mid dG_i(x) \cdot v = 0\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker dG_i(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Note that $k - 2r = n - r$ functions $G_{2r+1}, \dots, G_k$ commute with all other $G_1, \dots, G_k$. Now, denote by X_i the Hamiltonian vector fields generated by G_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. As functions G_i are functionally independent, these vector fields are linearly independent. We have

$$0 = \{G_i, G_j\}(x) = dG_i(x) \cdot X_j(x) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad \text{and } 2r < j \leq k. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence $X_j(x) \in T_x \Sigma_c$ for $2r < j \leq k$, so these vector fields form a linear base in $T_x \Sigma_c$. As

$$0 = \{G_i, G_j\}(x) = \omega_x(X_i, X_j) \quad \text{for } 2r < i, j \leq k, \quad (2.6)$$

$T_x \Sigma_c$ is an isotropic subspace of $T_x M$.

To formulate and prove the second fact we consider the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$ where V is a complex symplectic vector space of dimension $2n$ with a symplectic form Ω . By definition, elements of $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$ are endomorphisms $A : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\Omega(x, Ay) = -\Omega(Ax, y)$ for all $x, y \in V$. For an element $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$ we define a function

$$H_A : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad V \ni x \mapsto H_A(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Omega(Ax, x),$$

and a vector field

$$V \ni x \mapsto (x, v_A(x)) \in TV \quad \text{where } v_A(x) = Ax.$$

For a holomorphic function $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we denote by X_F the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$. Then $v_A = X_{H_A}$.*

For a proof see Proposition 2.5.1 on page 77 in [27].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$. Then*

$$A \circ B = B \circ A \iff \{H_A, H_B\} = 0 \iff [v_A, v_B] = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

An easy proof of the above statement we leave to the reader. As a matter of fact, one can show that Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of linear Hamiltonian vector fields with the commutator of vector fields as the Lie bracket, and it is also isomorphic to the Lie algebra of quadratic homogeneous Hamiltonian functions with the Poisson bracket as the Lie bracket, see Section 3.4 in [6].

Let U be a non-empty open subset V . Functions holomorphic on U form a ring denoted by $\mathcal{O}(U)$.

Definition 2. We say that Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$ preserves a function $F \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ iff $v_A[F] = \{F, H_A\} = 0$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In other words, $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves F iff F is a common first integral of all elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ considered as linear Hamiltonian vector fields.

The lemma below is a generalisation of the so called Key Lemma, see [9, Lemma III.3.7, p.72].

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $F_1, \dots, F_{n+r} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, $0 \leq r \leq n$ are functionally independent on U and*

$$\{F_i, F_j\} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-r \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+r. \quad (2.8)$$

If a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(V, \Omega)$ preserves all F_j for $1 \leq j \leq n+r$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is Abelian.

Proof. Let X_j be the Hamiltonian vector field generated by F_j for $1 \leq j \leq n+r$. From (2.8) we have

$$[X_j, X_i] = -X_{\{F_j, F_i\}} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-r \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+r. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and v_A be the corresponding linear Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian function H_A . Then

$$[X_j, v_A] = -X_{\{F_j, H_A\}} = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n+r, \quad (2.10)$$

because $\{F_j, H_A\} = 0$ by assumption that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves F_j for $1 \leq j \leq n+r$.

As functions $F_1, \dots, F_{n+r} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ are functionally independent on U , their common level

$$\Sigma_c := \{x \in U \mid F_i(x) = c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+r\}, \quad c = (c_1, \dots, c_{n+r}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+r}, \quad (2.11)$$

is a $(n-r)$ -dimensional submanifold of V . The tangent space $T_x \Sigma_c$ to Σ_c at point x is the intersection

$$T_x \Sigma_c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+r} \{v \in T_x V \mid dF_i(x) \cdot v = 0\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+r} \ker dF_i(x), \quad (2.12)$$

see Remark 2.2. We show that $X_1(x), \dots, X_{n-r}(x) \in T_x \Sigma_c$. In fact, by (2.8), for arbitrary $1 \leq j \leq n+r$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-r$, equality

$$dF_j(x) \cdot X_i(x) = \{F_j, F_i\}(x) = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

holds. Vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_{n+r}$ are linearly independent at all points of Σ_c . Hence we have $n-r$ linearly independent vector fields $X_1(x), \dots, X_{n-r}(x) \in T_x \Sigma_c$. They form a linear base of $T_x \Sigma_c$.

Now, let $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. By assumption $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves all functions F_j , $1 \leq j \leq n+r$, so vector field $v_A(x)$ is tangent to Σ_c at x . In fact, we have

$$0 = v_A[F_j](x) = dF_j(x) \cdot v_A(x) = \{F_j, H_A\}(x), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n+r. \quad (2.14)$$

Hence

$$v_A(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \lambda_i(x) X_i(x), \quad (2.15)$$

because vector fields $X_1(x), \dots, X_{n-r}(x)$ span $T_x \Sigma_c$. In the above formulae λ_i are holomorphic functions. Now, using (2.15) and (2.10), we obtain

$$0 = [X_j, v_A](x) = [X_j, \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \lambda_i X_i](x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} (X_j[\lambda_i](x) X_i(x) + \lambda_i(x) [X_j, X_i](x)), \quad (2.16)$$

for $x \in \Sigma_c$. Taking into account (2.9) we achieve

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} X_j[\lambda_i](x) X_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n+r, \quad \text{and } x \in \Sigma_c. \quad (2.17)$$

This implies that $X_j[\lambda_i](x) = d\lambda_i(x) \cdot X_j(x) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+r$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-r$. In other words, functions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-r}$ are constant on Σ_c . Summarising, if $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, then on Σ_c we have

$$v_A = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \lambda_i X_i, \quad \text{where } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-r} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.18)$$

Let us take another element $B \in \mathfrak{g}$. On Σ_c we can write

$$v_B = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \gamma_i X_i, \quad \text{where } \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-r} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.19)$$

Let us calculate the Lie bracket of v_A and v_B on Σ_c . We have

$$[v_A, v_B] = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \lambda_i X_i, \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} \gamma_k X_k \right] = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n-r} \lambda_i \gamma_k [X_i, X_k] = 0,$$

because $X_1, \dots, X_{n-r}$ are commuting vector fields. Thus, by Proposition 2.7, A and B commute. In this way we showed that $\mathfrak{g}$ is Abelian. $\square$

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that the system is integrable in the non-commutative sense in an open connected neighbourhood U of Γ with the holomorphic independent first integrals $F_1, \dots, F_k$, and let $2r = \text{rank}[a_{ij}(y)]$ where $y = F(\varphi(t))$. Condition (1.8) implies that $r = k - n$.

If F is a holomorphic first integral of the considered system, then the variational equations (1.3) have a polynomial first integral f . It is the first non-vanishing term in the Taylor expansion of F around Γ . More precisely, for $p \in \Gamma$ first integral f is a polynomial function in $T_p M$ which depends holomorphically on p . Thus, the first integrals $F_1, \dots, F_k$ give rise to first integrals $f_1, \dots, f_k$ of the variational equations. By the Ziglin Lemma, see section 1.3 in [3] or Lemma III.1.7 in [9], we can assume that $f_1, \dots, f_k$ are functionally independent. Moreover, let us fix $p \in \Gamma$ and let $V = T_p M$, $\Omega = \omega(p)$. Equations (1.7) imply that on (V, Ω) we have

$$\{f_i, f_j\}(x) = \alpha_{ij}(f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k, \quad \text{and } x \in V,$$

for certain polynomial functions α_{ij} in k variables. To see this, it is enough to expand both sides of equations (1.7) around point p and compare the lowest order terms. Note also that $\text{rank}[\alpha_{ij}] \leq 2r$.

From [8, 6] we know that the differential Galois group $\mathcal{G}$ of the variational equations (1.3) is a subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and that $f_1, \dots, f_k$ are invariants of this group, see also Lemmas III.2.3 and III.1.13 in [9].

Applying the Lie-Cartan theorem to polynomial functions $f_1, \dots, f_k$ we obtain new independent holomorphic functions $h_1, \dots, h_k$ that are also invariants of the differential Galois group of the variational equations (1.3). Thus, among them there is at least $n - r$ functions, let us say $h_1, \dots, h_{n-r}$, which commute with all h_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Thus, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ preserves all $h_1, \dots, h_k$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $\mathfrak{g}$ is Abelian and this means that the identity component $\mathcal{G}^\circ$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is Abelian. This finishes our proof of Theorem 1.2.

REMARK 2.3 Let us note that using Ziglin lemma from the holomorphic first integrals $h_1, \dots, h_k$ it is possible to construct k algebraically independent polynomial invariants of the Galois group and this fact can be important in applications. Furthermore, from this it is possible to give an alternative proof of Lemma 2.1 in the lines of the Morales-Ramis original papers (using Proposition 8 of [8] or Proposition 3.4 of [6]) by assuming that the first integrals are polynomials (instead of holomorphic) and algebraically independent (instead of functionally independent, although in this case both concepts are equivalent [3]).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank professor Jean-Marie Strelcyn who pointed our attention to important work [24]. Thanks are also due to Juan J. Morales Ruiz for his useful comments.

For the second author this research has been partially supported by the European Community project GIFT (NEST-Adventure Project no. 5006) and by Projet de l'Agence

References

- [1] S. L. Ziglin. Branching of solutions and non-existence of first integrals in Hamiltonian mechanics. I. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 16:181–189, 1982.
- [2] S. L. Ziglin. Branching of solutions and non-existence of first integrals in Hamiltonian mechanics. II. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 17:6–17, 1983.
- [3] A. Baider, R. C. Churchill, D. L. Rod, and M. F. Singer. On the infinitesimal geometry of integrable systems. In *Mechanics Day (Waterloo, ON, 1992)*, volume 7 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 5–56. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [4] H. Yoshida. A criterion for the nonexistence of an additional integral in Hamiltonian systems with a homogeneous potential. *Phys. D*, 29(1-2):128–142, 1987.
- [5] H. Yoshida. Ziglin analysis for proving nonintegrability of Hamiltonian systems. In *Finite-dimensional integrable nonlinear dynamical systems (Johannesburg, 1988)*, pages 74–93. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1988.
- [6] J. J. Morales Ruiz. *Differential Galois Theory and Non-Integrability of Hamiltonian Systems*, volume 179 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [7] J. J. Morales-Ruiz and J.-P. Ramis. Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems: statements and examples. In *Hamiltonian systems with three or more degrees of freedom (S'Agaró, 1995)*, volume 533 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 509–513. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [8] J. J. Morales-Ruiz and J.-P. Ramis. Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. I. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 8(1):33–95, 2001.
- [9] M. Audin. *Les systèmes hamiltoniens et leur intégrabilité*. Cours Spécialisés 8, Collection SMF. SMF et EDP Sciences, Paris, 2001.
- [10] M. Audin. Intégrabilité et non-intégrabilité de systèmes hamiltoniens (d'après S. Ziglin, J. Morales-Ruiz, J.-P. Ramis, ...). *Astérisque*, (282):Exp. No. 884, viii, 113–135, 2002.
- [11] J. J. Morales-Ruiz and J.-P. Ramis. Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. II. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 8(1):97–111, 2001.
- [12] M. Audin. La réduction symplectique appliquée à la non-intégrabilité du problème du satellite. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 12(1):25–46, 2003.
- [13] M. Audin. Exemples de hamiltoniens non-intégrables en mécanique analytique réelle. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 12(1):1–23, 2003.

- [14] A. Tsygvintsev. The meromorphic non-integrability of the three-body problem. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 537:127–149, 2001.
- [15] A. J. Maciejewski and M. Przybylska. Darboux points and integrability of Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous polynomial potential. *J. Math. Phys.*, 46(6):062901, 33 pp., 2005.
- [16] A. J. Maciejewski and M. Przybylska. Differential Galois approach to the non-integrability of the heavy top problem. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 14(1):123–160, 2005.
- [17] A. V. Brailov. Complete integrability of some geodesic flows and integrable systems with noncommuting integrals. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 271(2):273–276, 1983.
- [18] A. V. Brailov. Complete integrability with noncommuting integrals of certain Euler equations In *Global analysis—studies and applications, II*, volume 1214 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 21–39. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [19] M. Adams and T. Ratiu. The three-point vortex problem: commutative and non-commutative integrability. In *Hamiltonian dynamical systems (Boulder, CO, 1987)*, volume 81 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 245–257. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [20] A. S. Mishchenko and A. T. Fomenko. A generalized Liouville method for the integration of Hamiltonian systems. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 12(2):46–56, 1978.
- [21] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. On collective complete integrability according to the method of Thimm. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 3(2):219–230, 1983.
- [22] A. V. Bolsinov and B. Jovanović. Noncommutative integrability, moment map and geodesic flows. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 23(4):305–322, 2003.
- [23] A. T. Fomenko. *Integrability and nonintegrability in geometry and mechanics*, volume 31 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [24] S. T. Sadëtov. A proof of the Mishchenko-Fomenko conjecture (1981). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 397(6):751–754, 2004.
- [25] E. Cartan. *Leçons sur les invariants intégraux*. Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [26] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov, and A. I. Neishtadt. *Mathematical aspects of classical and celestial mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [27] J. E. Marsden and T. S. Ratiu. *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*. Number 17 in Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999. second edition.